## **Pupil Premium Spending and Impact**

For the year 2014 - 2015 our school received £140,500 of Pupil Premium Funding.
For the year 2015-2016 our school received £149,160 of Pupil Premium Funding.
For the year 2016-2017 it is estimated that our school will receive £141,700 of Pupil Premium Funding.

	2014 - 15	2015 - 16
No. of disadvantaged pupils eligible for the	107 @ £1300 = £139.100	92 @ £1300 = £119,600
Pupil Premium		
No. of children in care eligible for the Pupil	1 @ £1900 = £1900	o @£1900
Premium		
No. of service children eligible for the Pupil	o @£300	o @£300
Premium		

During 2015-2016 we used the PP Funding in the following ways:

- To provide Booster Group sessions for identified Y6 pupils.
- To provide Learning Mentor emotional support for identified children.
- To provide additional teaching assistant support to all classes.
- To provide additional numeracy interventions for identified children (1st class @number).
- Learning mentor support around friendship groupings for identified children.
- 1:1 Positive play
- Homework club (after school)
- Early morning writing boosters for identified children.
- 1:1 tuition (subject specific) (after school)

- Home pick up (before school)
- Counselling
- Art therapy
- Raising boys attainment in English with a 'Dads and Lads' project

## <u>Impact</u>

## 2015-2016

18 pupils out of a cohort of 28 received Pupil Premium funding. 6% of the 18 pupil premium pupils were on the SEN register.

Y6 July 2016		Within or above	National	Exceeding	National	Expected	More than
Cohort size:	1	Age related	Within or	Age related	Exceeding	Progress	Expected
		expectations	above Age	expectations	Age related	Ŭ	progress
Disadvantaged: 18			related		expectations		
			expectations				
Reading	Disadvantaged (18)	50%	53%	0%			
	All Other (10)	30%	53%	0%			
	Gap between PP children and all other children in the cohort	20%		0%			
Writing	Disadvantaged (18)	50%	74%	6%			
	All Other (10)	60%	74%	10%			
	Gap between PP children and all other children in the cohort	10%		4%			
Maths	Disadvantaged (18)	61%	70%	0%			
	All Other (10)	40%	70%	0%			
	Gap between PP children and all other children in the cohort	21%		0%			
EGPS	Disadvantaged (18)	67%	72%	0%			
	All Other (10)	50%	72%	0%			
	Gap between PP children and all other children in the cohort	17%		0%			